

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	08/965,844	ARAI, YOSHIHIRO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Scott A Rogers	2626	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Scott A Rogers.

(3) Surinder Sachar.

(2) Kimberly Williams.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 November 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 2, 4-12, 14-21, 32, 33, 35-41, and 47-52.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See attachment which explains requirements..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


KIMBERLY WILLIAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Claim for Priority in Reissue

Receipt is acknowledged of papers filed 29 March 1993 in U.S. Application 08/038,646 under 35 U.S.C. 119 (a)-(d) based on an application filed in Japan (4-103540) on 30 March 1992.

Unless supplied in an application data sheet (ADS) (See 37 CFR 1.76), the oath or declaration must identify the foreign application on which foreign priority is being claimed by specifying the application number, country, day, month, and year of its filing as required by 37 CFR 1.63(c). If the original patent contains a claim for foreign priority, such claim must be repeated in the reissue application in order to retain priority to the earlier effective filing date. MPEP 1417.

Applicant has not complied with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.63(c), since the oath, declaration or application data sheet does not acknowledge the filing of any foreign application. A new oath, declaration or application data sheet is required in the body of which the present application should be identified by application number and filing date.

Amendments to Claims in Reissue

Any change to the text of a claim (original or new) must be presented as an entire numbered claim. All subject matter being added to an original patent claim must be underlined. All subject matter being deleted from an original patent claim must be placed between brackets. 37 CFR 1.173(b)(2) and (d). Subject matter being added to a new claim requires rewriting (and underlining) of the entire new claim.

If a claim is amended during reissue prosecution, a parenthetical expression "(amended)," "(twice amended)," etc., should follow the original claim number. 37 CFR 1.173(b)(2). Alternatively, applicant may effectively re-write a claim by presenting it as a new (fully underlined) claim with a new claim number, and canceling the old claim. Brackets and underlining are to be used to reflect only those changes in the text from the original patented text and not from any previous amendment in the reissue application. § 1.173(g).

Therefore, amended claims 4 and 7 must be presented as indicated above.
Also, new claims 11, 14, 17, 35, and 38 should be presented in full and entirely underlined since these claims are new with respect to the original patent.

The declaration must be corrected in view of amendments to the claims during reissue prosecution.

Defective Reissue Declaration

The nature of the defect(s) in the declaration is set forth in the discussion above.

To expedite issuance of this Reissue Application and avoid an Office Action rejecting claims 1, 2, 4-12, 14-21, 32, 33, 35-41, and 47-52 as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above (See 37 CFR 1.175), please fax or hand carry a response ASAP resolving the above issues as instructed on the next page.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly Williams Monday-Friday 7:00 am to 3:30 pm at 703-305-4863. Please send faxes to 703-746-5917 and notify Ms. Williams. If the response is hand carried, please deliver to the TC 2600 receptionist on the 6th floor of Crystal Park Two (2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA 22202) and have receptionist notify Ms. Williams and hold paper for her to pick up.

19 November 2003